

专家共识

DOI: 10.19538/j.fk2025080112

子宫颈癌复发风险评估方法学中国专家共识
(2025年版)中国医师协会妇产科医师分会
中国医院协会妇产医院分会妇科肿瘤专业学组

关键词:子宫颈癌;复发风险;评估方法学;专家共识

Keywords: cervical cancer; recurrence risk; evaluation methodology; expert consensus

中图分类号:R737.3 文献标志码:A

1 背景

子宫颈癌是女性生殖系统最常见的恶性肿瘤,发病率和死亡率位居女性恶性肿瘤的第四位^[1]。接受标准治疗的早期子宫颈癌患者5年生存率高达90%,但仍有部分患者术后出现复发和转移^[2-3]。调查发现,2004—2019年中国部分地区子宫颈癌手术病例整体复发率为12.5%,初诊年龄较大、肿瘤期别较高与更高的复发率相关^[4]。国际妇产科联盟(Federation International of Gynecology and Obstetrics, FIGO) I B~II A期子宫颈癌患者的复发率为11%~22%,II B~IV期患者的复发率为28%~64%^[5],子宫颈癌一旦出现复发和转移,患者的生存率显著下降^[6]。目前,已有多个风险分层系统及预测模型用于评估子宫颈癌复发风险,如Peters标准、Sedlis标准、四因素模型、Silva分型等,各种评估方法有其特定的适用范围及局限性,但缺乏整合性指导。为此,中国医师协会妇产科医师分会和中国医院协会妇产医院分会妇科肿瘤专业学组组织相关专家经多次深入讨论,结合国内外有关文献制定本共识,以期对妇科(肿瘤)医师对子宫颈癌复发风险的精准分层和个体化治疗及监测提供适宜的方法依据。

2 共识的制订方法

2.1 共识的制订标准 本共识的制订遵循2014年发布的《世界卫生组织指南制订手册》^[7]及中华医学会发布的《中国制订/修订临床诊疗指南的指导原则(2022版)》^[8],并在国际实践指南注册与透明化平台进行注册(注册网址: <http://www.guidelines-registry.org/>),注册号PREPARE-

基金项目:辽宁省重点研发项目(2024JH2/102500019);辽宁省“兴辽英才计划”项目(XLYC2412037);2022年沈阳市科技计划(22-321-33-08);沈阳市科技局2023年助力中国医科大学高质量发展专项(23-506-3-01-10)

通信作者:张颐,中国医科大学附属第一医院,电子信箱:syzi@163.com;狄文,上海交通大学医学院附属仁济医院,电子信箱:diwen163@163.com;张师前,山东大学齐鲁医院,电子信箱:r370112@126.com

2025CN197。

2.2 使用者与目标人群 本共识主要供不同等级医院的妇科(肿瘤)医师参考;目标人群为子宫颈癌患者,旨在规范对子宫颈癌患者复发风险的评估,指导辅助治疗及个体化监测策略的制定。

2.3 临床问题的确定 本共识制定团队设立文献支持小组并确定主要的检索策略,聚焦子宫颈癌复发风险评估方法,并根据相应文献提出的子宫颈癌复发风险评估方法提出不同等级的推荐建议。这些推荐建议将为临床实践和决策提供指导。

2.4 证据检索与筛选 (1)检索数据库:包括中国知网、万方、维普、中国生物医学全文数据库、Ovid MEDLINE、Ovid Embase数据库。(2)检索时间:从数据库建库至2024年12月。(3)中、英文检索词:子宫颈癌、子宫颈腺癌、复发、预后、危险因素、风险评估、预测模型、cervical cancer、endocervical adenocarcinoma、recurrence、prognosis、risk factor、risk score、predictic mode。(4)检索文章的类型:涉及子宫颈癌复发风险评估方法并正式发表的原创研究成果。

2.5 证据的评价与分级 本共识的证据质量与推荐强度采用评估、制定及评价分级(grades of recommendation, assessment, development and evaluation, GRADE)系统进行评估^[9]。证据质量分为3个等级:高(A)、中(B)、低(C);推荐强度分为强推荐和弱推荐(表1)。

表1 本共识证据质量和推荐强度及其代表意义

类别	具体描述
证据质量	
高(A)	未来的研究不太可能对目前的评估结果有重要影响,从而不大可能改变当前推荐
中(B)	未来的研究可能对目前的评估结果有重要影响,从而可能改变当前推荐
低(C)	未来的研究很可能对目前的评估结果有重要影响,从而很可能改变当前推荐
推荐强度	
强推荐	明确显示干预措施利大于弊或弊大于利
弱推荐	利弊不确定或无论高或低质量的证据均显示利弊相当

医院);于爱军(浙江省肿瘤医院);王小元(山东第一医科大学第一附属医院);王玉东(上海交通大学医学院附属国际和平妇幼保健院);王冬(重庆大学附属肿瘤医院);王永军(首都医科大学附属北京积水潭医院);王刚(四川省妇幼保健院);王延洲(陆军军医大学第一附属医院);王军(大连医科大学附属第二医院);王建东(首都医科大学附属北京妇产医院);王莉(河南省肿瘤医院);王瑞哲(中国医科大学附属第一医院);孔为民(首都医科大学附属北京妇产医院);邓妍(中国医科大学);邓雷(中国医科大学附属第一医院);卢淮武(中山大学孙逸仙纪念医院);曲芃芃(天津市中心妇产科医院/南开大学附属妇产医院);朱育焱(中国医科大学附属第一医院);朱前勇(河南省人民医院);朱滔(浙江省肿瘤医院);刘军秀(中山大学附属第一医院);刘淑娟(空军军医大学第一附属医院/西京医院);许天敏(吉林大学第二医院);孙力(中国医学科学院肿瘤医院深圳医院);孙蓬明(福建省妇幼保健院);阳志军(广西医科大学附属肿瘤医院);狄文(上海交通大学医学院附属仁济医院);芦恩婷(中国医科大学附属第一医院);苏英杰(中国医科大学附属第一医院);李大鹏(山东第一医科大学附属肿瘤医院);李宁(中国医学科学院肿瘤医院);李芳梅(中国医科大学附属第一医院);李茵(北京大学基础医学院);李俊东(中山大学肿瘤防治中心);李莉(新疆医科大学附属肿瘤医院);佟晓光(中国医科大学附属第四医院);沈文静(中国医科大学附属第一医院);宋玉丽(中国医科大学附属第一医院);张师前(山东大学齐鲁医院);张松灵(吉林大学白求恩第一医院);张萍(山东大学第二医院);张颐(中国医科大学附属第一医院);陆安伟(南方医科大学深圳医院);陈亮(山东第一医科大学附属肿瘤医院);范江涛(广西医科大学第一附属医院);林安(福建省肿瘤医院);易萍(重庆医科大学附属第一医院);金平(深圳市妇幼保健院);周欣(中国医科大学附属盛京医院);赵虎(郑州大学第二附属医院);姜阁(哈尔滨医科大学附属肿瘤医院);袁光文(中国医学科学院肿瘤医院);袁航(青岛大学附属山东省妇幼保健院);郭瑞霞(郑州大学第一附属医院);黄奕(湖北省肿瘤医院);梁静(中日友好医院);蓝春燕(中山大学附属肿瘤医院);蔡红兵(武汉大学中南医院);黎金婷(广西壮族自治区人民医院)

参考文献

- [1] 王培宇,黄祺,王少东,等.《全球癌症统计数据2022》要点解读[J].中国胸心血管外科临床杂志,2024,31(7):933-954. DOI:10.7507/1007-4848.202405013.
- [2] Kim HJ, Kim LK, Lee SH, et al. Expression levels of the long noncoding RNA steroid receptor activator promote cell proliferation and invasion and predict patient prognosis in human cervical cancer [J]. Oncol Lett, 2018, 16 (4) : 5410-5418. DOI: 10.3892/ol.2018.9265.
- [3] Xu W, Xu M, Wang L, et al. Integrative analysis of DNA methylation and gene expression identified cervical cancer-specific diagnostic biomarkers [J]. Signal Transduct Targeted Ther, 2019, 4(1):55. DOI:10.1038/s41392-019-0081-6.
- [4] 陈春林,刘萍,李维丽,等. 2004至2019年中国部分地区子宫颈癌手术病例复发情况调查和分析 [J]. 妇产与遗传(电子版), 2022, 12(3):1-5.
- [5] Quinn MA, Benedet JL, Odicino F, et al. Carcinoma of the cervix uteri. FIGO 26th annual report on the results of treatment in gynecological cancer [J]. Int J Gynaecol Obstet, 2006, 95 (Suppl 1) : S43-S103. DOI: 10.1016/S0020-7292(06)60030-1.
- [6] Pectasides D, Kamposioras K, Papaxoinis G, et al. Chemotherapy for recurrent cervical cancer [J]. Cancer Treat Rev, 2008, 34 (7):603-613. DOI:10.1016/j.ctrv.2008.05.006.
- [7] World Health Organization. WHO handbook for guideline development [M/OL]. 2nd ed. (2014-12-18) [2025-06-10]. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241548960.
- [8] 陈耀龙,杨克虎,王小钦,等.中国制订/修订临床诊疗指南的指导原则(2022版)[J].中华医学杂志,2022,102(10):697-703. DOI: 10.3760/ema.j.cn112137-20211228-02911.
- [9] Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations [J]. BMJ, 2008, 336 (7650) : 924-926. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.39489.470347.AD.
- [10] 尉洋,佟秀琴.早期宫颈癌术后复发危险因素及治疗进展 [J]. 癌症进展, 2021, 19 (12) : 1199-1201, 1222. DOI: 10.11877/j.issn.1672-1535.2021.19.12.03.
- [11] Ji M, Liu Y, Hu Y, et al. Comparison of recurrence patterns in cervical cancer patients with positive lymph nodes versus negative [J]. Cancer Med, 2023, 12 (1) : 306-314. DOI: 10.1002/cam4.4860.
- [12] Viswanathan AN, Lee H, Hanson E, et al. Influence of margin status and radiation on recurrence after radical hysterectomy in Stage I B cervical cancer [J]. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 2006, 65(5):1501-1507. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2006.03.010.
- [13] McCann GA, Taeye SK, Boutsicaris CE, et al. The impact of close surgical margins after radical hysterectomy for early-stage cervical cancer [J]. Gynecol Oncol, 2013, 128 (1) : 44-48. DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2012.10.028.
- [14] Kim H, Cho KW, Kim JY, et al. Significance of the number of high-risk factors in patients with cervical cancer treated with radical hysterectomy and concurrent chemoradiotherapy [J]. Gynecol Oncol, 2020, 157 (2) : 423-428. DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.02.031.
- [15] Peters WA 3rd, Liu PY, Barrett RJ 2nd, et al. Concurrent chemotherapy and pelvic radiation therapy compared with pelvic radiation therapy alone as adjuvant therapy after radical surgery in high-risk early-stage cancer of the cervix [J]. J Clin Oncol, 2000, 18 (8) : 1606-1613. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2000.18.8.1606.
- [16] 周晖,刘昀昀,罗铭,等.《2023 NCCN 子宫颈癌临床实践指南(第1版)》解读 [J]. 中国实用妇科与产科杂志, 2023, 39

- (2):189-196. DOI:10.19538/j.fk.2023020115.
- [17] Delgado G, Bundy B, Zaino R, et al. Prospective surgicalpathological study of disease-free interval in patients with stage I B squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix: A gynecologic oncology group study[J]. *Gynecol Oncol*, 1990, 38(3):352-357. DOI: 10.1016/0090-8258(90)90072-S.
 - [18] Ryu SY, Park SI, Nam BH, et al. Is adjuvant chemoradiotherapy overtreatment in cervical cancer patients with intermediate risk factors? [J]. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*, 2010, 79(3):794-799. DOI:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.11.019.
 - [19] Sedlis A, Bundy BN, Rotman MZ, et al. A randomized trial of pelvic radiation therapy versus no further therapy in selected patients with stage I B carcinoma of the cervix after radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy: A Gynecologic Oncology Group Study [J]. *Gynecol Oncol*, 1999, 73(2):177-183. DOI:10.1006/gyno.1999.5387.
 - [20] Van de Putte G, Lie AK, Vach W, et al. Risk grouping in stage I B squamous cell cervical carcinoma [J]. *Gynecol Oncol*, 2005, 99:106-112. DOI:10.1016/j.ygyno.2005.05.026.
 - [21] Abu-Rustum NR, Yashar CM, Arend R, et al. NCCN Guidelines® insights: cervical cancer, version 1.2024 [J]. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*, 2023, 21(12):1224-1233. DOI:10.6004/JNCCN.2023.0062.
 - [22] Cibula D, Pötter R, Planchamp F, et al. The European Society of Gynaecological Oncology/European Society for Radiotherapy and Oncology/European Society of Pathology Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Cervical Cancer [J]. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*, 2018, 28(4):641-655. DOI:10.1097/IGC.0000000000001216.
 - [23] Ebina Y, Mikami M, Nagase S, et al. Japan Society of Gynecologic Oncology guidelines 2017 for the treatment of uterine cervical cancer [J]. *Int J Clin Oncol*, 2019, 24(1):1-19. DOI:10.1007/s10147-018-1351-y.
 - [24] Gómez-Hidalgo NR, Acosta Ú, Rodríguez TG, et al. Adjuvant therapy in early-stage cervical cancer after radical hysterectomy: are we overtreating our patients? A meta-analysis [J]. *Clin Transl Oncol*, 2022, 24(8):1605-1614. DOI:10.1007/S12094-022-02808-9.
 - [25] Rotman M, Sedlis A, Piedmonte MR, et al. A phase III randomized trial of postoperative pelvic irradiation in Stage I B cervical carcinoma with poor prognostic features: follow-up of a gynecologic oncology group study [J]. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*, 2006, 65(1):169-176. DOI:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2005.10.019.
 - [26] Cao L, Wen H, Feng Z, et al. Role of adjuvant therapy after radical hysterectomy in intermediate-risk, early-stage cervical cancer [J]. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*, 2021, 31(1):52-58. DOI:10.1136/ijgc-2020-001974.
 - [27] Ryu SY, Park SI, Nam BH, et al. Is adjuvant chemoradiotherapy overtreatment in cervical cancer patients with intermediate risk factors? [J]. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*, 2011, 79(3):794-799. DOI:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.11.019,
 - [28] Landy R, Sasieni PD, Mathews C, et al. Impact of screening on cervical cancer incidence: a population-based case-control study in the United States [J]. *Int J Cancer*, 2020, 147(3):887-896. DOI:10.1002/ijc.32826.
 - [29] Bucchi L, Baldacchini F, Mancini S, et al. Estimating the impact of an organised screening programme on cervical cancer incidence: a 26-year study from northern Italy [J]. *Int J Cancer*, 2019, 144(5):1017-1026. DOI:10.1002/ijc.31806.
 - [30] Islami F, Fedewa SA, Jemal A. Trends in cervical cancer incidence rates by age, race/ethnicity, histological subtype, and stage at diagnosis in the United States [J]. *Prev Med*, 2019, 123:316-323. DOI:10.1016/j.ypmed.2019.04.010.
 - [31] Ojesina AI, Lichtenstein L, Freeman SS, et al. Landscape of genomic alterations in cervical carcinomas [J]. *Nature*, 2014, 506(7488):371-375. DOI:10.1038/nature12881.
 - [32] Shimada M, Kigawa J, Nishimura R, et al. Ovarian metastasis in carcinoma of the uterine cervix [J]. *Gynecol Oncol*, 2005, 101(2):234-237. DOI:10.1016/j.ygyno.2005.10.004.
 - [33] Lee YY, Choi CH, Kim TJ, et al. A comparison of pure adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix after radical hysterectomy in stage I B- II A [J]. *Gynecol Oncol*, 2011, 120(3):439-443. DOI:10.1016/j.ygyno.2010.11.022.
 - [34] Galic V, Herzog TJ, Lewin SN, et al. Prognostic significance of adenocarcinoma histology in women with cervical cancer [J]. *Gynecol Oncol*, 2012, 125(2):287-291. DOI:10.1016/j.ygyno.2012.01.012.
 - [35] Ryu SY, Kim MH, Nam BH, et al. Intermediate-risk grouping of cervical cancer patients treated with radical hysterectomy: a Korean Gynecologic Oncology Group study [J]. *Br J Cancer*, 2014, 110(2):278-285. DOI:10.1038/bjc.2013.716.
 - [36] Koh WJ, Abu-Rustum NR, Bean S, et al. Cervical cancer, version 3.2019, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology [J]. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*, 2019, 17(1):64-84. DOI:10.6004/jnccn.2019.0001.
 - [37] 全诗敏, 热依汗古丽·阿卜力孜, 郭艳平, 等. Silva 浸润模式在宫颈腺癌中的应用价值 [J]. *中国妇幼健康研究*, 2024, 35(9):27-32. DOI:10.3969/j.issn.1673-5293.2024.09.005.
 - [38] Roma AA, Diaz De Vivar A, Park KJ, et al. Invasive endocervical adenocarcinoma: a new pattern-based classification system with important clinical significance [J]. *Am J Surg Pathol*, 2015, 39(5):667-672. DOI:10.1097/PAS.0000000000000402.
 - [39] Roma AA, Mistretta TA, Diaz De Vivar A, et al. New pattern-based personalized risk stratification system for endocervical adenocarcinoma with important clinical implications and surgical outcome [J]. *Gynecol Oncol*, 2016, 141(1):36-42. DOI:10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.02.028.
 - [40] Spaans VM, Scheunhage DA, Barzaghi B, et al. Independent validation of the prognostic significance of invasion patterns in endocervical adenocarcinoma: Pattern A predicts excellent sur-

- vival [J]. *Gynecol Oncol*, 2018, 151 (2): 196–201. DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2018.09.013.
- [41] Hernández JA, Rodríguez J, Rendón G, et al. Pelvic lymph node involvement and risk of recurrence in HPV-associated endocervical adenocarcinoma stage I A2– I B1 according to Silva's system in two Colombian cancer centers [J]. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*, 2024, 166 (3): 1232–1239. DOI: 10.1002/IJGO.15482.
- [42] Stolnicu S, Boros M, Hoang L, et al. FIGO 2018 stage I B endocervical adenocarcinomas; an international study of outcomes informed by prognostic biomarkers [J]. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*, 2021, 31(2): 177–184. DOI: 10.1136/ijgc-2020-001893.
- [43] Liu Y, Zhang N, Yang Q. Predicting the recurrence of usual-type cervical adenocarcinoma using a nomogram based on clinical and pathological factors: a retrospective observational study [J]. *Front Oncol*, 2024, 14 1320265–1320265. DOI: 10.3389/FONC.2024.1320265.
- [44] 中国抗癌协会妇科肿瘤专业委员会. 子宫颈癌腹腔镜技术诊治指南(2023年版)[J]. *中国实用妇科与产科杂志*, 2023, 39 (3): 296–302. DOI: 10.19538/j.fk2023030111.
- [45] Manzour N, Chiva L, Chacón E, et al. SUCCOR risk: design and validation of a recurrence prediction index for early-stage cervical cancer [J]. *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2022, 29 (8): 4819–4829. DOI: 10.1245/S10434-022-11671-5.
- [46] Paik ES, Lim MC, Kim MH, et al. Prognostic model for survival and recurrence in patients with early-stage cervical cancer: a Korean Gynecologic Oncology Group Study (KGOG 1028) [J]. *Cancer Res Treat*, 2019, 52 (1): 320–333. DOI: 10.4143/crt.2019.124.
- [47] 李盼盼, 侯文静, 张梦真. I A2 ~ II A2期子宫颈癌术后复发风险预测评分表的建立 [J]. *实用妇产科杂志*, 2019, 35 (9): 694–700.
- [48] Cibula D, Dostálek L, Jarkovsky J, et al. The annual recurrence risk model for tailored surveillance strategy in patients with cervical cancer [J]. *Eur J Cancer*, 2021, 158: 111–122. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejca.2021.09.008.
- [49] Levinson K, Beavis AL, Purdy C, et al. Beyond Sedlis—A novel histology-specific nomogram for predicting cervical cancer recurrence risk: An NRG/GOG ancillary analysis [J]. *Gynecol Oncol*, 2021, 162 (3): 532–538. DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.06.017.
- [50] Kang S, Nam BH, Park JY, et al. Risk assessment tool for distant recurrence after platinum-based concurrent chemoradiation in patients with locally advanced cervical cancer: a Korean gynecologic oncology group study [J]. *J Clin Oncol*, 2012, 30 (19): 2369–2374. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2011.37.5923.
- [51] Shin W, Park SY, Seo SS, et al. Predicting the risk of the distant recurrence of cervical cancer after concurrent chemoradiation: A validation study of the Korean Gynecologic Oncologic Group (KGOG)–1024 model [J]. *Gynecol Oncol*, 2021, 164 (1): 62–67. DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.10.070.
- [52] Rose PG, Java J, Whitney CW, et al. Nomograms predicting progression-free survival, overall survival, and pelvic recurrence in locally advanced cervical cancer developed from an analysis of identifiable prognostic factors in patients from NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group randomized trials of chemoradiotherapy [J]. *J Clin Oncol*, 2015, 33: 2136–2142. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.57.7122.
- [53] 洪文翠, 吕银. 局部晚期子宫颈癌放疗后复发危险因素分析及列线图预测模型的构建 [J]. *实用妇产科杂志*, 2023, 39 (4): 302–307.
- [54] Huang K, Sun H, Li X, et al. Prognostic risk model development and prospective validation among patients with cervical cancer stage I B2 to II B submitted to neoadjuvant chemotherapy [J]. *Sci Rep*, 2016, 6: 27568. DOI: 10.1038/srep27568.
- [55] 陈秀杰, 何玉, 胡晓文, 等. 子宫颈癌 III C1 期患者术后生存列线图构建及其临床应用价值分析 [J]. *中国实用妇科与产科杂志*, 2025, 41(7): 742–746. DOI: 10.19538/j.fk2025070116.
- [56] 王昱俨, 刘萍, 彭程, 等. 子宫颈腺鳞癌根治性放化疗与开腹手术肿瘤学结局对比研究 [J]. *中国实用妇科与产科杂志*, 2024, 40(9): 924–931. DOI: 10.19538/j.fk2024090116.

(2025-07-02 收稿)

读者·作者·编者

敬告读者

国际妇产科联盟(FIGO)妇科肿瘤诊治指南以“癌症报告”形式每3~4年更新1次,上次发布时间为2021年。最近,FIGO陆续公布了2025版。本刊邀请中山大学孙逸仙纪念医院林仲秋教授团队继续撰写指南解读,从2025年第9期开始连续刊登。特此告知。

《中国实用妇科与产科杂志》编辑部